

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:-

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.V.K.BALI
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.RAMACHANDRAN

THURSDAY, THE 21ST DECEMBER 2006 / 30TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

R.P.No.1046 of 2006 IN W.P.(C).NO.12514 OF 2006(M)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN W.P.(C).12514/2006 DATED 25/09/2006
.....

PETITIONER/6TH RESPONDENT:-

THE COCHIN DEVASWOM BOARD,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, OFFICE OF THE
COCHIN DEVASWOM BOARD, TRICHUR.

BY ADV. SRI.M.RAMESH CHANDER

RESPONDENTS:-

1. SREE HANUMAN KOVIL PUBLIC TRUST
REPRESENTED BY SRI.K.R. RAMACHANRAN
AGED 64 YEARS, S/O.KUTHOTHOR RAMAKRISHNA BHATT,
ADMINISTRATOR, SREE HANUMAN KOVIL, KOCHI-682 011.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ERNAKULAM.
3. THE TAHSILDAR, KANAYANNOOR TALUK, ERNAKULAM.
4. THE CORPORATION OF COCHIN, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.
5. SRI.S. BALAN, SECRETARY,
ERNAKULAM SIVA KSHETHRA RAKSHA SAMITHI,
SIVA KRIPA, 1ST FLOOR, LAYAM ROAD, ERNAKULAM.
6. THE ERNAKULAM KSHETHRA KSEMA SAMITHI
RECOGNISED SAMITHI OF THE ERNAKULAM SIVA TEMPLE,
DEVASWOM BUILDINGS,
ERNAKULAM SIVA TEMPLE COMPLEX, KOCHI-11.
7. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.

R1 BY ADV.SRI.T.P.KELU NAMBIAR (SR.)
SRI.P.GOPINATH

R2, R3 & R7 BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.M.R.SABU.

SRI.S.RAMESH BABU
SRI.K.RAMAKUMAR
SRI.V.GIRI

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 21/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:

V.K.Bali,C.J. & M.Ramachandran,J.

R.P.No.1046 of 2006 in
W.P.(C).No.12514 of 2006-M

Dated, this the 21st day of December, 2006

ORDER

V.K.Bali,C.J. (Oral)

Heard Sri.M.Ramesh Chander for the petitioner, Senior Advocate Sri.T.P.Kelu Nambiar for the 1st respondent and Sri.M.R.Sabu, Senior Government Pleader for respondents 2, 3 and 7.

2. We have only observed in the judgment sought to be reviewed that Devaswom Board, in these proceedings, had not claimed it to be the owner of the adjacent land in dispute. That observation alone may not be enough to make this application for review, as nothing adverse with regard to ownership has been commented upon by us in the judgment sought to be reviewed. Dismissed.

V.K.Bali
Chief Justice

M.Ramachandran
Judge

vkul/-